

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Richmond Division

ANDREW E. ZUPKO,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:18CV493

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se* filed this action. The matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's failure to serve Defendant Zayas within the time required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). Rule 4(m) provides:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or 4(j)(1).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), Plaintiff had 90 days from the filing of the complaint to serve the defendants. Here, that period commenced on April 29, 2019. By Memorandum Order entered on July 22, 2020, the Court directed Plaintiff, within eleven (11) days of the date of entry thereof, to show good cause for his failure to serve Defendant Zayas within the time required by Rule 4(m). Plaintiff has not responded. Accordingly, all claims against Defendant Zayas will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Order shall issue.

/s/  
M. Hannah Lauck  
United States District Judge  
M. Hannah Lauck  
United States District Judge

Date: 8-17-20  
Richmond, Virginia